SIMPLE PROCEDURE REVIEW – UPDATE ON REVIEW AND SUMMARY OF CONSULTATION RESPONSES PAPER

Purpose

- 1. To invite the Scottish Civil Justice Council ('the Council') to:
 - Note an update from the Access to Justice Committee ('the Committee') on the progress of its review of the Simple Procedure Rules; and
 - Consider and, if content, approve a draft summary of consultation responses (**Paper 2018.17A**) for publishing.
 - 2. **Paper 2018.17A** was approved by the Committee by correspondence on 23 August 2018.

Background

3. Members will recall that as part of the Committee's review of the Simple Procedure Rules, the Council approved the following by way of an item by correspondence in February 2018: a draft consultation paper; a draft research specification commissioning research into the experiences of party litigants who have used Simple Procedure; and proposals for focus groups to be carried out with interested parties who have experience of using Simple Procedure.

Consultation

- 4. The consultation ran from 27 February 2018 to 31 May 2018. The consultation document set out some overarching questions for consultees to consider in connection with the Simple Procedure Rules. It was intended to facilitate discussion, but was not exhaustive. The questions focused on the most commonly used parts of the Rules (e.g. making and responding to a claim). However, participants were afforded an opportunity to comment on any part of the Simple Procedure Rules not specifically covered by the other consultation questions, or to make any general comments.
- 5. 25 responses were received to the consultation. These responses were published on the SCJC website on 16 July 2018 and can be viewed here. Two individual consultees asked to remain anonymous and two organisations and one anonymous individual asked for the content of

their responses not to be published.

- 6. The Secretariat and the Lord President's Private Office have considered these responses in the first instance and a draft summary of responses paper is provided at Paper 2018.17A. Given that the Committee will not be in a position to fully consider what changes should be made to the Simple Procedure Rules until the research report has been received, Paper 2018.17A is intended to provide only a summary of the responses and does not include a 'changes made' section. However, upon conclusion of the review, the Committee may propose publishing a paper outlining the output from the review and the policy reasons behind the changes. The Committee will consider whether or not it wishes to publish such a paper at a future meeting.
- 7. The Council is invited to note this update and, if content, approve that the draft summary of responses paper at Paper 2018.17A be published.

Research

- 8. Members may recall that the aim of the research specification approved by the Council in February 2018 was to canvass the views of party litigants who have used Simple Procedure since it commenced. It is envisaged that this will help ascertain the accessibility of Simple Procedure from the perspective of party litigants and identify any rule, form or standard order improvements that can be made.
- 9. Following Council's approval of the draft research specification, the Secretariat carried out a procurement exercise in March and April 2018 with assistance from the SCTS procurement team. This exercise resulted in Professor Tom Mullen and Ms Halle Turner of the University of Glasgow being appointed in May. Professor Mullen is currently a Professor of Law at the University and Ms Turner is a postdoctoral research assistant who has recently completed a PhD thesis entitled 'The Party Litigant in the Scottish Civil Courts.'
- 10. The Research Advisory Group ('RAG') is comprised of Lauren Keillor from the Secretariat, Norman Munro from the Lord President's Private Office (Rules Rewrite Drafting Team) and Committee member Professor Frances Wasoff. The RAG met with Professor Mullen and Ms Turner in June 2018. The Secretariat had obtained some statistics from the Management Information and Analysis Team in SCTS in relation to the number of Simple Procedure cases which had been registered in local sheriff courts. Following consideration of this information, it was agreed

that the researchers should visit Edinburgh, Glasgow, Aberdeen and Dunfermline sheriff courts. The Lord President and Sheriffs Principal approved these proposals and the researchers are currently liaising with the local sheriff courts as to when they would be best placed to attend to conduct the research.

- 11. Following the RAG meeting, the researchers developed a draft questionnaire for consideration by the RAG. The Secretariat also agreed with SCTS that a link to the questionnaire would be published on the SCTS website to target party litigants who may wish to participate. This link can be accessed here and a copy of the final questionnaire is available for ease of reference in the **Annex** to this paper.
- 12. In relation to timings, Professor Mullen and Ms Turner have undertaken, subject to any unavoidable delay, to submit the final research report to the Committee in time for the Committee meeting scheduled for 26 November. It is envisaged that this will assist the Committee in its consideration of an options paper from the Secretariat and the Lord President's Private Office which it is intended will inform the focus group aspect of the research. It is hoped that the focus groups will be carried out in December or January.
- 13. The Council is invited to note this update.

Recommendations

- 14. The Council is invited to:
- 15. Note an update from the Committee on the progress its review of the Simple Procedure Rules; and
- 16. Consider and, if content, approve the draft summary of consultation responses paper at Paper 2018.17A for publishing.

Scottish Civil Justice Council Secretariat October 2018

Annex – Simple Procedure Questionnaire

The purpose of this questionnaire is to gather information about how well people using Simple Procedure in the sheriff court who do not have a solicitor or other legal representation are able to use the process, the forms and the rules.

This questionnaire can also be completed and submitted online at:

https://glasgow.onlinesurveys.ac.uk/simple-procedure-questionnaire-2018.

If you prefer to complete the questionnaire on paper, please follow the instructions below and return it to us at this address:

<u>Professor Tom Mullen, School of Law, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12</u> <u>8QQ</u>

Please tell us about your Simple Procedure case

For most of the questions in this questionnaire, several options are given for the answer. You should circle the answer you want to give.

1. Are you the Claimant or Respondent in your case?	
---	--

Claimant Respondent

2. What is your case about?

Payment of money Delivery or recovery An order to do something

of an item of property

3. Is there/was there a solicitor or legal representative acting for the other side in vour case?

Yes No Don't Know They did not

respond to the

claim

Please tell us about the forms you completed to begin or respond to the claim

4. Which of the following forms did you complete?

Form 3A: Form 4A: Form 5A:

Claim Form Response Form Time to Pay Application

5. Where did you get the form?

The Sheriff Court SCTS Website The forms were *Other

served on me

*If other - please	tell us where:				
6. The form	was easy to co	omplete			
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
7. I underst	ood what inforn	nation I was being ask	ced to provide	e on the form	
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
8. The guid	ance provided	on the form was helpfu	ul		
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
I did not read the guidance					
	get any help fro sect of your cas	m someone else in co e?	mpleting the	form or with any	
No help	help from a lawyer	help from a non- lawyer adviser		elp from a elative or friend	
10. If you did they help	-	someone else, please	tell us who h	elped you and how	

11. Please write any comments you want to make about the forms here. For example, tell us if any parts of the form were easier or more difficult to complete, and why.

Please tell procedures		your experienc	e using tl	ne Simple Pr	ocedure Rules and the	
	ere did you options tha		about the	e simple proce	edure? [Please circle all	
The Simple Procedure Rules		Speaking with court staff	Guidance Scottish	Court	Advice Agencies, such as Citizen's	
Guidance o Simple Prod Forms	cedure	*Other	Service \	/vebsite	Advice	
ii otriei - pi	ease tell t	is wriere.				
13. The	format of	the Simple Proc	edure Rule	es was clear a	and easy to follow	
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agre Disagree	ee nor	Strongly Disagree	I did not read the rules	
	s able to f cedure Ru	ind the information	on that I w	as looking for	in the Simple	
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agre Disagree	ee nor	Strongly Disagree	I did not read the rules	
	derstood n case	ny responsibilitie	s and the	responsibilitie	es of the other parties in	
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree disagree	e nor	Strongly Disagree	I did not read the rules/that part of the rules	

case.

16. I unders court.	stood the step	s I was required to tal	ke in the timeta	ble fixed by the
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
17. I unders		was required to take th	ne steps in the	timetable fixed by
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
		eceived from the Sher ample, attend a hearing		
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
This doesn't apply to my case				
	my case (suc	ily any forms I needed th as an application fo	•	-
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
I did not make an application				
	ms required to and and comp	make an application plete	for an order we	ere easy to
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
I did not make an application				
21. Overall, forms?	how would y	ou rate the Simple Pro	ocedure Rules,	guidance and
Excellent	Good	Fair	F	oor
		any part of the Rules, especially anything yo		

23. What parts of the Simple Procedure worked well in your experience?	
24. What changes might make the Simple Procedure easier for you to use?	

Please tell us about yourself.

[These questions are optional. Please leave them out if you prefer not to answer them]

Your age: 18—24 25—39 40—59 above 60
 Gender: Male Female Prefer not to say
 What is your highest educational qualification?

No secondary school school qualifications e.g. qualifications e.g. standard grade/Higher Secondary school College certificate or Diploma e.g. Degree Degree

4. Is English your first language?

Yes No

We would like to hear more about your experience using the Simple Procedure. If you would be willing to participate in an interview with us (either by telephone or in person), please provide your details below. This information will only be used to get in touch with you. In consideration of any inconvenience, you would receive a £20 store voucher for participating in an interview.

Name:
Phone number:
Email address:
Postal Address: